DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2003 BUDGET ESTIMATES (\$ in Thousands)

	(\$ III Thousanus)			
D.	Authorization	Approp.	New/ Current	
Page <u>State/Installation/Project</u> <u>No.</u>	Request	Request	Mission	
California				
Travis Air Force Base Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	16,000	16,000	C	22
Louisiana Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, New Orleans				
Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	9,500	9,500	С	25
Ohio Defense Supply Center Columbus Physical Fitness Facility	5,021	5,021	C	28
Virginia	,	·		
Defense Supply Center Richmond Renovate Operations Center	5,500	5,500	С	31
Guam				
Andersen Air Force Base Replace Hydrant Fuel System	17,586	17,586	С	34
Japan				
Yokota Air Base Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	23,000	23,000	C	37
Mariana Islands				
COMNAVMARIANAS Guam Marine Loading Arms	6,000	6,000	C	40
Portugal Lajes Field, Azores Replace Hydrant Fuel System	19,000	19,000	С	44
	17,000	17,000	C	77
Spain Naval Station Rota				
Hydrant Fuel System	23,400	23,400	N	47

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2003 BUDGET ESTIMATES (\$ in Thousands)

Page <u>State/Installation/Project</u>	Authorization <u>Request</u>	Approp. <u>Request</u>	New/ Current <u>Mission</u>	
No. United Kingdom Royal Air Force Fairford Replace Hydrant Fuel System	17,000	17,000	C	50
GRAND TOTAL	142,007	142,007		

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITA	ARY CON	STRUCT	ION PR	OGRAM	2. DATE	FEB 02
DEFENSE (DLA)	CATRION	4					5 ADEA	CONSTRUCTION
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC TRAVIS AIR FORCI		4. COMMAND					COST I	
CALIFORNIA	a brige	D	EFENSE I	LOGISTIC	CS AGE	NCY		1.24
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PER	MANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPOR	RTED	
Tenant of USAF	OFFICER E	ENLIST CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER ENLI	ST CIVIL	TOTAL
A. B.								
		7	. INVENTO	RY DATA (\$0	000)			
A. TOTAL ACREAGE								
B. INVENTORY TOTAL A	S OF							
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN INVE	ENTORY						
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	UESTED IN T	THIS PROGRAM						16,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCL	LUDED IN FO	LLOWING PROC	GRAM					
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	REE YEARS							
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	CY							
H. GRAND TOTAL								16,00
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRA	AM:						
CATEGORY PROJECT		PROJEG	CT TITLE			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMBER 411 DESC0331		Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks				(\$000) 16,000	START 04/01	COMPLETE 09/02
411 DESC0331		першее Вик Г	der Brorage	runks		10,000	04/01	05/02
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY CODE		PROJECT TITL	Æ			COST (\$000)		
		None				· /		
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUN These fuel facilities provid Base and other transient a Deferred sustainment, rest	le essential st ircraft.	-	·	-	-			
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTE A. AIR POLLUTION B. WATER POLLUTION	ON AND SAFET	TY DEFICIENCIES	:			0		
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAF	ETY AND HEA	LTH				0		

(DLA) 3. Installation and Location TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE 5. Program Element 71111S	c, CALIFORNIA 6. Category Code		4 Proi		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE 5. Program Element			4 Proi										
5. Program Element			_	ect Title									
	6. Category Code						RAGE TANKS						
71111S		7. Pro	ject Nun	nber	8. Pro	ject Cost (\$0	000)						
	411	D	ESC03	31		16,0	000						
	9. COST	ESTIMA	ΓES				•						
	Item		U/M	Quanti	ty	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)						
PRIMARY FACILITIES			-	-		-	6,310						
FUEL STORAGE TANKS			kL	15,90	0	250	(3,975)						
DIESEL STORAGE TANK (SE	LF-CONTAINED)		LS	-		-	(50)						
FUEL OPERATIONS FACILIT			LS	-		-	(1,355)						
REFUELER TRUCK MAINTE			LS LS	-		-	(780)						
LIQUID FUEL MAINTENANC	E SHOP		LS	-		-	(150)						
CURRORTING EACH ITIES							0.050						
SUPPORTING FACILITIES SITE PREPARATION AND IM			LS	-		-	8,050 (3,050)						
MECHANICAL AND ELECTR			LS	_		-	(700)						
REFUELER TRUCK PARKING			LS	_		_	(3,200)						
DEMOLITION			LS	_		-	(1,100)						
SUBTOTAL			-	-		-	14,360						
CONTINGENCY (5%			-	-		-	718						
)							15.070						
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST	ח		-	-		-	15,078						
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION &			-	-		-	905						
SOI ERVISION, INSI ECTION &	OVERHEAD (SIOH) (0.0%)	_	_		_	15,983						
TOTAL REQUEST			_	_		_	16,000						
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)													

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two 15,900-kiloliter (kL) (100,000-barrel) aboveground jet fuel storage tanks. Work includes leak detection, cathodic protection, containment dikes, automatic tank gauging, level alarm systems, and other standard tank appurtenances. Provide 75-kL (20,000-gallon) self-contained aboveground tank (SCAT) for diesel fuel storage. Construct fuel operations facility, refueler parking, refueler truck maintenance facility, and liquid fuel maintenance shop to consolidate base fuel operations. Site improvements include fencing, lighting, utility connections, and pavements to support new facilities. Demolish two existing aboveground tanks of 8,744 kL (55,000 barrels) total capacity and a 5,575-m² (60,000-SF) maintenance facility in the way of new construction.

11. REQUIREMENT: 15,900 kL ADEQUATE: 0 kL SUBSTANDARD: 8,744

kL

PROJECT: Construct two 15,900-kL aboveground jet fuel storage tanks and fuel operations support facilities. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide additional fuel storage capacity at Travis Air Force Base to adequately sustain the large-scale movement of personnel, equipment, and supplies in wartime and during multiple peacekeeping and humanitarian operations. Fuel storage at this location must be adequate to support not only assigned aircraft but also transient aircraft participating in strategic mobility operations. An adequate, centralized facility is required for the management and control of all of the base's fuel functions.

CURRENT SITUATION: Presently, fuel storage on base is inadequate to support the Air Mobility Command's many peacekeeping, humanitarian, and wartime missions. The demand for fuel during contingency operations could reduce bulk fuel storage inventories to a point where aircraft might have to be diverted to alternate bases en route to their destinations, causing mission delays. The current 50-year old fuel operations and maintenance facilities are set apart from each other and do not meet mission needs or seismic and fire codes.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, inadequate on-base fuel storage capacity will adversely impact mission readiness and training. This situation is exacerbated by the increasing tempo of operationPAGE NO. experienced over the last few years. Increased waiting time for fuel resupply will cause mission delays. Fuels personnel will continue to work in presupply descriptions in presupply and maintenance of PAGE NO. equipment.

UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
3. Installation and Location: TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA 4. Project Title REPLACE BULK FUEL STO						RAGE TANKS		
5. Program Elemen		6. Category Code		ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0			
71111S		411	DESC0331		DESC0331 16,			

ADDITIONAL: New construction is the only feasible alternative for meeting on-base fuel storage requirements. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data:

1.	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	04/01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)	NO
	(c) Percent Completed as of January 2002	35
	(d) Date 35 Percent Completed	.09/01
	(e) Date Design Complete	09/02

2. Basis:

(a)	Standard or Definitive Design:	YES
(b)	Date Design was Most Recently Used:)7/00

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	480
(b)	All Other Design Costs	320
(c)	Total	800
(d)	Contract	640
	In-House	
. /		

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE FEB 02		
DEFENSE (DLA) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOG NAVAL AIR STATIO JOINT RESERVE BA NEW ORLEANS, LOG	N, SE,	4. COMMAND DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 0.95		
STRENGTH:		DENT SUPPORTE S D IST CIVIL OFFICE ENLIST CIVIL OFFICER ENLIS R	T CIVIL TOTAL		
		7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)			
A. TOTAL ACREAGE B. INVENTORY TOTAL A	S OF				
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN INVE	ENTORY	3,200		
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	UESTED IN T	HIS PROGRAM	9,500		
E. AUTHORIZATION INCI	LUDED IN FO	LLOWING PROGRAM			
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	REE YEARS				
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	ICY				
H. GRAND TOTAL			12,700		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTE	D IN THIS PR	OGRAM:			
CATEGORY PROJECT CODE NUMBER 124 DESC0302		PROJECT TITLE COST (\$000) Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks 9,500	DESIGN STATUS START COMPLETE 12/00 07/02		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY CODE A.		PROJECT TITLE COST (\$000) None			
B.		Total			
Station Joint Reserve Base	de essential st e New Orlean	orage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned as and other federal agencies. modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$4.4 million through			
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTE	ON AND SAFET	Y DEFICIENCIES:			
A. AIR POLLUTION		0			
B. WATER POLLUTION		0			
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAF	TEIT AIND HEA	LTH 0			

CDLA	Cost (\$000) 6,574 (1,574) (1,700)
5. Program Element 6. Category Code 7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (\$000) 71111S 124 DESC0302 8. Project Cost (\$000) PRIMARY FACILITIES U/M Quantity Unit Cost PRIMARY FACILITIES -	6,574 (1,574) (1,700)
7111IS 124 DESC0302 9,500 9. COST ESTIMATES Item U/M Quantity Unit Cost PRIMARY FACILITIES -	6,574 (1,574) (1,700)
9. COST ESTIMATES Item U/M Quantity Unit Cost PRIMARY FACILITIES -	6,574 (1,574) (1,700)
Item	6,574 (1,574) (1,700)
PRIMARY FACILITIES.	6,574 (1,574) (1,700)
FUEL STORAGE kL 5,724 275 TANKS	(1,574) (1,700)
LS	(1,700)
PUMPHOUSE	` ' '
FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY. TRUCK FILLSTAND/UNLOAD STATIONS. REFUELER TRUCK PARKING. SUPPORTING FACILITIES. DEMOLITION. LS	(800)
FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY LS TRUCK FILLSTAND/UNLOAD STATIONS REFUELER TRUCK PARKING	(1,600)
REFUELER TRUCK PARKING	(900)
DEMOLITIONLS	
DEMOLITIONLS	1,971
	(800)
SHE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS L.S. I I I.	(481)
SITE UTILITIES. LS	(410)
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION LS	(280)
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%)	8,545 <u>427</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST	8,972
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)	<u>538</u>
TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	9,510 9,500

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct three 1,908-kiloliter (kL) (12,000-barrel) aboveground jet fuel storage tanks. Work includes leak detection, cathodic protection, containment dikes, automatic tank gauging, level alarm systems, and other standard tank appurtenances. New pumphouse, truck loading and unloading stations, refueler truck parking, and fuel operations building will also be constructed. Site improvements include fencing, lighting, utilities, and pavements, as well as the demolition of seven 795-kL (5,000-barrel) cut-and-cover underground storage tanks. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 5,724 kL ADEQUATE: 0 kL SUBSTANDARD: 5,565

kL

PROJECT: Construct three 1,908-kL aboveground jet fuel storage tanks and fuel support facilities. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace seven underground storage tanks (UST), built in the late 1950s, that no longer comply with federal and state UST regulations regarding spill prevention and secondary containment criteria. One tank is already out of service due to a fuel leak. This project provides replacement aboveground tanks, sized to meet current fuel storage requirements. It also replaces old fuel truck loading and unloading facilities and a dilapidated fuel operations facility, and provides refueler truck parking with containment systems to meet current environmental requirements. This activity supports flight operations of the U.S. Coast Guard, Customs Service, and a Marine helicopter wing. It also supports operations and training of the Louisiana Air National Guard, the Air Reserves, and the Naval Reserves.

CURRENT SITUATION: The station is currently operating six underground fuel tanks that do not comply with federal and state UST regulations. Continued long-term use of these tanks will subject the station to potential environmental notices of violation and fines for non-compliance with these regulations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, NASJRB New Orleans must continue to use deteriorated underground tanks to meet its fuel storage requirements. The station risks the potential for additional fuel leaks, expensive environmental PREVIOUS FEBRUARY WITH EXHAUSTED WITH EXHAUSTED.

UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
3. Installation and I NAVAL AIR STA (NASJRB) NEW	ATION, JO	INT RESERVE BASE		4. Project Title REPLACE I	e BULK FUEL STO	RAGE TANKS		
5. Program Elemen	t	6. Category Code		ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	•		
71111S If the state forces t		124 of these tanks, the station w		DESC0302 to jet fuel storag		oort essential		

operational and training missions.

ADDITIONAL: New construction is the only feasible alternative to meet environmental requirements for secondary containment for fuel storage structures. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential and will support the requirements of other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

- (a) Estimated Design Data:
 - Status:

 - (c) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)......NO
 - (d) Percent Completed as of January 2002......35
 - (e) Date 35 Percent Completed.......09/01
 - Date Design Complete......07/02
 - Type of Design Contract.......Design/Bid/Build
 - 2. Basis:
 - (h) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
 - (i) Date Design was Most Recently Used:......07/00
 - Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

 - (k) All Other Design Costs......230

 - (m) Contract......540
- B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. COMPONENT DEFENSE (DLA)		FY 2003	MILITA	ARY CON	STRUCT	ION PR	OGRAM		2. DATE	FEB 02	
3. INSTALLATION AND LO DEFENSE SUPPLY (COLUMBUS (DSCC)	CENTER	TER DEFENSE LOCISTICS ACENCY							5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 0.99		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PE	PERMANENT STUDE					S	UPPORTE	D.		
A. As of 30 Sep 2001 B. End of FY 2006	OFFICER 51 51	ENLIST	CIVIL 6,441 6,541	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL 100	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	TOTAL 6,592 6,592	
			7	. INVENTOR	Y DATA (\$	000)					
A. TOTAL ACREAGE acres				550							
B. INVENTORY TOTAL A	S OF SEP 2	001								247,00	
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN INV	VENTORY	<i>T</i>								
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	QUESTED IN	THIS PR	OGRAM							5,02	
E. AUTHORIZATION INC	LUDED IN F	OLLOWI	NG PROC	RAM						4,300	
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	IREE YEARS	S									
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	NCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL										256,32	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	N THIS PROGI	RAM:									
CATEGORY PROJECT CODE NUMBER 740 DSCC0301		P		CT TITLE tness Center			COST (\$000) 5,021		DESIGN START 03/01	STATUS COMPLETE 08/02	
			,				-,				
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY CODE		PROJ	ECT TITL	E			COST (\$000)				
823	Dece	entralize H	leat Plant	(FY 2004)			4,300				
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUN The Defense Supply Cent groups and provides supp supports tenant activities Accounting Service (DFA Deferred sustainment, res	er Columbu oly support of on the instance. (AS), and other	of decentrallation in er Defens	alized an cluding t e of Dep	d non-catal he DLA De artment ter	loged item efense Dis ants.	ns to the A	Army, Navy Depot Colu	, Air For mbus (D	ce, and Ma	arines. DSCC also	
11. OUTSTANDING POLL	UTION AND	SAFETY	DEFICIE	NCIES:							
								0			
A. AJR POLLUTION											
A. AIR POLLUTION B. WATER POLLUTION	ON							0			

DEFENSE	FY 20	03 MILITARY CONST	RUCTIO	ON PI	ROJECT	DATA	2. Date FEB 02
(DLA) 3. Installation and DEFENSE SUPP OHIO		ER COLUMBUS (DSCC)	4. I	Project PH		FITNESS FA	ACILITY
5. Program Elemei	nt	6. Category Code	7. Project	Numbe	er 8. Pr	oject Cost (\$0	000)
711118		740	_	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		5,0	
,1111	<u> </u>	9. COST ES					
		Item	U/N	М	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
		Y(29,00			2,695	1,318	3,552 (3,552)
UPPORTING FAC	ILITIES				-	-	959
		PROVEMENTS		•	-	-	(409)
					-	-	(500)
ANTI-TERRORIS	M/FORCE PI	ROTECTION	LS		-	-	(50)
UBTOTAL					_	_	4,511
CONTINGENCY (5			-		-	-	226
		T	-		-	-	4,737
		T z OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)			-	-	284
OI ERVISION, IN	of ECTION 6	COVERTIDAD (SIOH) (0.0%)	-		_	_	5,021
OTAL REQUEST.							,
			1	<u> </u>			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a physical fitness center to include multi-purpose court, three racquetball courts, indoor running track mezzanine, aerobics room, weight training area, administrative and storage space, and locker and shower facilities. Work includes site improvements such as driveways, sidewalks, landscaping and site utilities. Anti-terrorism protective measures and access for the handicapped will be provided.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,695 square meters (m²) ADEQUATE: 0 m² SUBSTANDARD: 3,135 m²

PROJECT: Construct a new physical fitness center. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to relocate the existing fitness center, located in a converted World War II warehouse, so this 26,734 m² (287,763 square-foot) building may be vacated and demolished by separate action to reduce DoD facilities infrastructure. The relocation of this function and subsequent demolition of unneeded warehouses are part of the installation master plan, driven by a reduction of depot operations and transformation of the installation to a mostly administrative complex. Consequently, 95 percent of the installation's population has moved over the past five years to administrative facilities more than a mile away from the current fitness center. In addition, as part of this plan, an inefficient central heat plant serving warehouses at the depot is scheduled for FY 2004. When this occurs, the center would need to install an expensive individual heating system in the existing fitness facility to keep it in operation. This facility serves more than 8,000 military personnel, dependents, and government civilians at this location. The proposed center will be constructed across the street from two recently built administrative buildings providing more than one million square feet of office space.

CURRENT SITUATION: DSCC currently uses 3,135 m² (33,746 SF) of a converted warehouse, constructed in 1942, to house its physical fitness center. As the only remaining occupant in this mostly vacant building, the center is expensive to maintain and no longer situated to conveniently support the personnel who use it. Conversion of this part of the warehouse began over 20 years ago. As a result, electrical power, ventilation, plumbing, and fire protection systems are aging and more costly to maintain. In addition, the facility is not accessible to the physically handicapped.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DSCC will be forced to sustain its fitness center in a vacant building for which it has no other use and at a location that detracts from the quality work environment at this installation. Aging building systems will continue to be costly to maintain, and additional funds for a new heating system and personnel accessibility will be spent on a marginal facility.

PAGE NO.

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	03 MILITARY CONST	3 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA FEB 02							
3. Installation and Location: 4. Project Title										
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS (DSCC) PHYS					ICAL FITNESS FACILITY					
OHIO										
5. Program Element	t	6. Category Code	7. Project Number 8. Pro		8. Project Cost (\$0	000)				
71111S		740	DSCC0301		5,021					
ADDITIONAL: T	ADDITIONAL: This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency certifies that									

this facility is suitable for joint use by other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

- (a) Estimated Design Data:
 - Status:

 - b. Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)......NO
 - c. Percent Completed as of January 2002......35
 - Date 35 Percent Completed.

e. Date Design Complete.....

DD 1 Dec 76

Form Type of Design PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	
3.	Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) ($$000$)	
	 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total. (d) Contract. (e) In-House. 	160 400 320
4.	Contract Award	12/02
5.	Construction Start	01/03
6.	Construction Completion	03/04

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

DEFENSE (DLA) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOC DEFENSE SUPPLY CORICHMOND, VIRGIN		1 co									
		7. 00	4. COMMAND DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY						5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX		
	NIA									0.92	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PE	RMANEN'	Т		STUDENTS		S	UPPORTE	D		
	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	TOTAL	
A. Sept 30, 2001 B. End of FY 2007	32 36	8 9	3213 * 3535 *	0 0	0	60 66	8 9 * - Includes	1 2 contractor 1	584 * 643 * personnel	3906 * 4300 *	
			A.	INVENTO		\$000)					
A. TOTAL ACREAGE					611						
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	S OF SEP 20	001								5	45,69
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN IN	VENTORY	Y								4,500
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	UESTED IN	THIS PR	OGRAM								5,50
E. AUTHORIZATION INCL	UDED IN F	OLLOWI	NG PROG	SRAM							2,00
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THI	REE YEARS	5									8,50
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	CY										
H. GRAND TOTAL										5	66,19
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGI	RAM:									
CATEGORY PROJECT CODE NUMBER 610 DSCR0301		Ren		CT TITLE erations Cent	ter		COST (\$000) 5,500		DESIGN START 01/01	STATU COMPLI 09/02	ETE
			_								
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY CODE		PROJ	ECT TITL	E			COST (\$000)				
690			um (FY 2				2,000				
740 219		ical Fitnes Engineer C		(FY 2005) (2007)			2,500 6,000				
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC The Defense Supply Center F provides supply support of de installation including the DL Deferred sustainment, restora	Richmond (E ecentralized a A Defense E	and non-ca Distribution	ataloged it n Depot R	tems to the Uichmond (DI	J.S. and Eu DRV).	ropean ar	eas. DSCR a				
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTIO	ON AND SAF	ETY DEFI	CIENCIES:								
A. AIR POLLUTION								0			
B. WATER POLLUTIO	ON							0			
		D HEALT						0			

DEFENSE	FY 200	03 MILITARY CONST	TRUC	TION	PROJ	2. Date FEB 02		
(DLA) 3. Installation and I	ocation			4 Pro	ject Title			
		ER RICHMOND (DSCR)			•		PERATION	IS CENTER
5. Program Elemen	t	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Nu	mber	8. Pr	oject Cost (\$0	000)
71111S		610	D	SCR03	301		5,5	500
		9. COST E	STIMA'	TES				
		Item		U/M	Quant	ity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
DRIMARY FACILIT	IEC	(44,4	00 SE)	m ²	4,12		1,161	4,789
		AND FINISHES		LS	-		-	(1,150)
		TON SYSTEMS		LS	-		-	(944)
		AIR CONDITIONING (HVAC).		LS	-		-	(780)
				LS	-		-	(690)
FIRE PROTECTIO	N			LS	-		-	(475)
INTERIOR DEMOI	LITION			LS	-		-	(750)
SUPPORTING FACI	LITIES			-	_		-	150
SITE PREPARATION	ON			-	-		-	(85)
CIVIL/MECHANIC	AL UTILITI	ES		-	-		-	(65)
SUBTOTAL				-	-		-	4,939
CONTINGENCY (59	%			-	-		-	247
)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
				-	-		-	5,186
		Г : OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)		-	-		-	311
,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-	_		-	5,497
TOTAL REQUEST					-		-	5,500
		,		_	_		_	(1,415)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate a headquarters operations center to provide handicapped accessibility to the entire building and install essential life safety and fire protection systems. Work includes the demolition of the interior of the facility and removal of asbestos materials and lead-based paint. Install new electrical, plumbing, and telecommunications systems; fire protection; HVAC systems; and interior finishes. Provide exterior ramps and elevator for accessibility.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,125 square meters (m²) ADEQUATE: 0 m² SUBSTANDARD: 4,125 m²

PROJECT: Renovate a command headquarters operation center. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to modernize the DSCR headquarters operations building to comply with requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act and other life safety, fire protection, and operational standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing building lacks fire protection systems and accessibility for the handicapped. The facility is the original and only administrative building constructed when the installation opened in 1942 as the U. S. Army Richmond Quartermaster Depot. Last renovated in 1963, interior plumbing and other utility systems are antiquated, and in some cases, obsolete. HVAC systems are outdated and inefficient, causing continual maintenance problems due to their age and condition. Restrooms lack adequate ventilation and accessibility. Interior partitions and floor layouts of this three–story structure prevent efficient utilization of available space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, personnel will continue to work in substandard facilities with inadequate ventilation and fire protection systems. Barriers to handicapped personnel will prevent direct access to the commander and the Center's primary conference facilities. Full utilization of the building will not be achieved due to inefficient building layout and utilities systems. Sustainment costs will continue to increase to repair antiquated HVAC and electrical systems.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA) FY	2003 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PRO	JECT DATA	2. Date FEB 02
3. Installation and Location	:	4. Project Title	e	
DEFENSE SUPPLY CEN VIRGINIA	TER RICHMOND (DSCR)	RENOVA	ATE OPERATIO	NS CENTER
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Project Cost (\$	000)
71111S	610	DSCR0301	5,	500
existing facility is the only	of state and local interest in this practical alternative. This procertifies that this facility is suita	ject meets all applicable	DoD criteria. The	
12. Supplemental Data:				
(b) Parametr (c) Percent (c) Percent (d) Date 35 2 (e) Date Des (f) Type of 1 2. Basis: B. Standard C. Date Des 3. Total Cost D. Producti E. All Other F. Total G. Contract	ign Started ic Cost Estimate Used to Devel Completed as of January 2002 Percent Completed ign Complete Oesign Contract or Definitive Design: ign was Most Recently Used: (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$0 on of Plans and Specifications Design Costs	lop Costs (Yes/No)	NO 35 199/01 199/02 Build .NO N/A	
6. Construction	Procuring Appropriation	be provided from other a	02/03 03/04 appropriations: 1 Year priated	Cost (\$000)
Systems Furniture/Furnish	ings/Telecomm DWCF		04	1,415

1. COMPONENT	F"	Y 2003 MILITA	RY CONS	STRUCTI	ON PRO	OGRAM	2. DATE	
DEFENSE (DLA)	-	1 2000 1,1111111	KI 001)INCCI.	011122	JUMIN		FEB 02
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC	ATION	4. COMMAND					5. AREA C	CONSTRUCTION NDEX
ANDERSEN AFB, GUA	AM	DF	EFENSE L	OGISTIC	S AGE	NCY	00012	2.03
								2100
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:		MANENT		STUDENTS	CD III	SUPPORT		TOTAL I
Tenant of USAF A.	OFFICER E	ENLIST CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER ENLIS	Г CIVIL	TOTAL
B.								
		7.	INVENTOR	Y DATA (\$0	00)			
A. TOTAL ACREAGE								
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	S OF							
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT								80,300
D. AUTHORIZATION REQU	UESTED IN T	THIS PROGRAM						17,586
E. AUTHORIZATION INCL	UDED IN FO	LLOWING PROG	RAM					
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THE	REE YEARS							
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC	CY							
H. GRAND TOTAL								97,886
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRA							
CATEGORY PROJECT CODE NUMBER		PROJEC	T TITLE			COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS COMPLETE
121 DESC0385		Replace Hydra	nt Fuel Syste	em		17,586	08/01	11/02
0 FITHIRE PROJECTS.								
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE	E			COST		
CODE A.		None				(\$000)		
A.		None						
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC	CTION.							
These fuel facilities provide		orage and distrib	oution syste	ms to supp	ort the	missions of assigne	d units of A	ndersen Air Force
Base and other contingency								
Deferred sustainment, resto	oration, and	modernization fo	or fuel facil	ities at this	s location	n is \$4.6 million.		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTIO	ON AND SAFET	ΓY DEFICIENCIES:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0		
B. WATER POLLUTIO	N					0		
C. OCCUPATIONAL S.	AFETY AND	HEALTH				0		

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	3 MILITARY CONS	TRUC	TION	PROJ	ECT	DATA	2. Date FEB 02
3. Installation and Lo	cation			4. Pro	ject Title			
ANDERSEN AIR F	OPCE R	ASE CHAM		,			ADANT FIII	EL SYSTEM
5. Program Element	ORCE D	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Nu			oject Cost (\$0	
71111S		121		DESC03			17,5	
711110		9. COST I			,,,,		179	
		Item		U/M	Quant	itv	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
DDIMADV EACH ITIE				-	-	,	-	12,295
				OL	11		630,000	(6,930)
		TANKS		kL	3.18	0	665	(2,115)
OPERATING FUEL	STORAGE	Z TAINKS	•••••	LS	-		-	(2,200)
DUMBLIOUSE				LS	_		_	(1,050)
		M						(-,)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION	JN SISIE	IVI						
SUPPORTING FACILI	ITIES			_	_		_	3,432
SITE PREPARATIO	N AND IM	PROVEMENTS		LS	_		_	(1,000)
MECHANICAL ANI) ELECTRI	ICAL UTILITIES		LS	_		_	(1,300)
				LS	_		_	(1,102)
DEMOLITION				LS	_		_	(30)
		NCE SUPPORT INFORMATION		20				(50)
SUBTOTAL				-	_		-	15,727
CONTINGENCY (5%				-	_		-	786
)								
,				-	_		-	16,513
ESTIMATED CONTR	ACT COST	7		-	_		_	1,073
SUPERVISION, INSPI	ECTION &	OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%).						
,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_	_		-	17,586
TOTAL REQUEST								,

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide one 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per-minute) pumphouse, 11 hydrant outlets, and two 1,590-kiloliter (10,000-barrel) aboveground operating fuel tanks. Work includes cathodic protection systems, fire detection, fire hydrants, utility connections, and emergency generator. Demolish existing pumphouses, associated underground storage and waste tanks, hydrant outlet pits, and associated underground fuel piping outside of airfield pavement areas. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 67 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 56 OL SUBSTANDARD: 11 OL

PROJECT: Replace a deteriorated hydrant fueling system with a modern pressurized fuel system. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide a functioning hydrant fuel system for wide-bodied aircraft supporting strategic en route mobility requirements and operations plans in the Pacific. This 11-outlet system will replace a hydrant system that is failing and cannot support peacetime missions or en route mobility requirements in contingency or wartime operations. This project provides the fourth of four hydrant fuel systems needed to meet the total requirement of 67 hydrants. Previous systems were approved in the FYs 2000, 2001, and 2002 DLA MILCON programs.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 41-year-old hydrant system is failing and requires constant repairs due to its condition and the harsh environment in which it operates. Because of the system's age, repair parts are no longer commercially available and must be salvaged from other similar systems or individually fabricated. The system fails regularly due to corrosion and water infiltration into the valve pits and conduits. Pumphouses are often out of service for extended periods because of continual failures of the electrical systems. When large-frame aircraft are located at parking positions without hydrant capability, they must be serviced by refueling trucks. Because of the distances the refuelers must travel between aircraft and truck fillstands, they cannot provide the necessary fuel support in the required one-hour refueling time.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	3 MILITARY CONS	ГRUС	TION PROJ	IECT DATA	2. Date FEB 02	
3. Installation and Location: 4. Project Title							
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM				REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM			
5. Program Elemen	t	6. Category Code	7. Pro	7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (\$0		000)	
71111S		121	DESC0385 17		17,5	586	

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, a complete failure of the existing system is likely as components continue to deteriorate. The prolonged use of this obsolete system jeopardizes the base's ability to refuel wide-bodied aircraft in support of current operations and en route mobility plans. The potential for environmental contamination from deteriorating underground fuels systems will increase.

ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo, refueling by truck, or constructing the proposed hydrant system concluded that replacement of the existing system is the only feasible alternative to accomplish the refueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

(a) Estimated Design Data:

1.	Status:	
	a. Date Design Started	
	b. Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Dev	elop Costs (Yes/No)YES
	c. Percent Completed as of January 2002	35
	d. Date 35 Percent Completed	12/01
	e. Date Design Complete	11/02
	f. Type of Design Contract	Design/Bid/Build
2.	Basis:	
	a. Standard or Definitive Design:	YES
	b. Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/01
3.	Total Cost $(c) = (a)+(b)$ or $(d)+(e)$ (\$	5000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	s390
	(b) All Other Design Costs	260
	(c) Total	650
	(d) Contract	520
	(e) In-House	130
4.	Contract Award	01/03
5.	Construction Start	02/03
6.	Construction Completion	04/04

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITAR	Y CONSTRUCTION	ON PRO	OGRAM	2. DATE	EED 02
DEFENSE (DLA)		Т.				5 ADEA (FEB 02
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC		4. COMMAND				5. AREA C	CONSTRUCTION NDEX
YOKOTA AIR BASE,	JAPAN	DEF	ENSE LOGISTIC	S AGEN	NCY		1.94
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PER	MANENT	STUDENTS		SUPPORTE	ED	
Tenant of USAF			OFFICER ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER ENLIST		TOTAL
A.							
В.						<u> </u>	
A TOTAL ACREACE		7. IN	VENTORY DATA (\$0	00)			
A. TOTAL ACREAGE	a or						
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS							12 000
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT							13,000
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ							23,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCL		LLOWING PROGRA	ΔM				
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH							
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	CY						
H. GRAND TOTAL							36,000
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRA						
CATEGORY PROJECT CODE NUMBER		PROJECT '	TITLE		COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS COMPLETE
411 DESC0304		Bulk Fuel Stor	rage Tanks		23,000	09/00	09/02
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE			COST		
CODE		Mono			(\$000)		
		None					
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUN These fuel facilities provide		iel storage and distr	ribution systems to	support	the missions of assis	ened units	of Yokota Air
Base and other contingend			.100.11011	o-rr		51100	01 1 0110 111 1
Deferred sustainment, rest	torotion and	modernization for	fual facilities at this	Location	a is \$19 / million th	rough FV	2007
Deferred sustainment, resi	ioration, and	modernization for	ruer racinties at tins	s iocatioi	1 18 \$16.4 HIIIIOH UI	iough r i	2007.
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	ON AND SAFE	ΓΥ DEFICIENCIES:					
A. AIR POLLUTION	N.				0		
B. WATER POLLUTION C. OCCUPATIONAL S		НЕАІТН			0		
	, a Li i i a d	1112/1111			v		

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA) FY 20	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
3. Installation and Location			4. Proi	ect Title				
YOKOTA AIR BASE , JAP	AN		BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS					
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Nur		Project Cost (\$0			
71111S	411		ESC03		23,0			
71115		ESTIMA'		U T	23,0	00		
	Item		U/M	Ouantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITIES			-	-	-	17,550		
FUEL STORAGE		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	kL	31,800	500	(15,900)		
TANKS			LS	-	_	(500)		
FILTER STATION			LS	-	_	(150)		
TRUCK FILLSTANDS			LS	-	_	(1,000)		
FUEL DISTRIBUTION PIPING								
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			-	-	_	3,000		
SITE PREPARATIONS AND I	MPROVEMENTS		LS	-	_	(1,000)		
SITE			LS	-	_	(1,500)		
UTILITIES			LS	-	_	(300)		
DEMOLITION			LS	-	_	(200)		
OPERATIONS & MAINTENA	NCE SUPPORT INFORMAT	ION						
SUBTOTAL			-	-	-	20,550		
CONTINGENCY (5%			-	-	-	<u>1,028</u>		
)								
			-	-	-	21,578		
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST			-	-	-	<u>1,403</u>		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION &	COVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%))				22.001		
TOTAL DEOLIEGT			-	-	-	22,981		
TOTAL REQUEST			-	-	-	23,000		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED))	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Currency Exchange Rate: ¥124.33/\$.								
. •					•	•		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two 15,900-kiloliter (kL) (100,000-barrel) cut-and-cover, steel-lined, reinforced concrete storage tanks for JP-8 jet fuel. Work will include secondary containment, cathodic protection, fire protection, transfer pumps, truck fillstands, filter separators, automatic tank gauging, emergency power generator, lighting, utilities, pavements, and modifications to distribution piping. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 71,500 kL ADEQUATE: 39,700 kL SUBSTANDARD: 0

kL

PROJECT: Construct two 15,900-kL cut-and-cover underground bulk fuel storage tanks. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide additional jet fuel storage at this location to support strategic en route refueling operations, strategic airlift, and force projection in Asia. This is the second of two projects to provide a total of 47,700 kL (300,000 barrels) of additional storage capacity at this site. The first project was approved in the FY 2002 DLA MILCON program. Bulk storage tanks will store jet fuel required to sustain contingency operations pending resupply by rail or truck. This project will reduce the number of resupply cycles to support the base's requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current bulk fuel storage capacity at Yokota Air Base is insufficient to support contingency operations. Because of this shortfall, the base must depend on the availability of fuel from other storage sites and the ability to transport this fuel in a timely manner to the base via rail and truck during a contingency. Use of these transportation modes requires significant coordination with the host nation government with uncertain assurance of delivery, especially under emergency conditions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, inadequate on-site jet fuel storage will seriously jeopardize base operations, force projection, and strategic airlift in the Pacific theater.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	3 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. Date FEB 02
3. Installation and I	Location:		4. Project Title			
YOKOTA AIR BASE, JAPAN				BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS		
5. Program Element 6. Category Code 7. Pro		7. Project Number 8. Project Cost		000)		
71111S		411	DESC0304		23,0	000
ADDITIONAL: This project is incligible for Japanese Facilities Improvement Program (IFIP) funding because it will						

ADDITIONAL: This project is ineligible for Japanese Facilities Improvement Program (JFIP) funding because it will add to the fuel storage capacity at Yokota Air Base. Since the existing tanks have limited capacity, construction of new tanks is the only feasible alternative to satisfy the requirement. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

- (a) Estimated Design Data:
 - 1. Status:

	\mathcal{E}
b.	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)NO
c.	Percent Completed as of January 200235
d.	Date 35 Percent Completed07/01

- f. Type of Design Contract......Design/Bid/Build
- 2. Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
 (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: 07/01
- 3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	540
(b)	All Other Design Costs	360
(c)	Total	900
(d)	Contract	720

- 5. Construction Start
 .04/03

 6. Construction Completion
 .04/05
- B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCT	ION PRO	GRAM	2. DATE	^^
DEFENSE (DLA)							FEB 02
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC	CATION	4. COMMAND		_		5. AREA (CONSTRUCTION NDEX
COMMANDER, NAV FORCES, MARIANAS GUAM		DEFENS	SE LOGISTIO	CS AGEN	CY		2.03
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PER	MANENT	STUDENTS		SUPPOI	RTED	
Tenant of US Navy A. B.	OFFICER E	NLIST CIVIL OFFIC	CER ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER ENL	IST CIVIL	TOTAL
		7. INVEN	TORY DATA (\$6	000)			
A. TOTAL ACREAGE							
B. INVENTORY TOTAL A	S OF						
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN INVE	NTORY					
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	UESTED IN T	HIS PROGRAM					6,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCL	LUDED IN FO	LLOWING PROGRAM					
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	REE YEARS						
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	CY						
H. GRAND TOTAL							6,000
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRA	M:					
CATEGORY PROJECT		PROJECT TITLI	E		COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMBER 122 DESC0375		Marine Loading A	arms		(\$000) 6,000	START 08/01	COMPLETE 08/02
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY CODE		PROJECT TITLE			COST (\$000)		
		None					
12 AUGUST OF MAJOR FUN	CONTON						
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUN These fuel facilities provid installations on Guam.		orage and distribution s	systems to sup	port the m	nissions of COM	INAVMARIA	NAS and other
Deferred sustainment, rest	toration, and	modernization for fuel	facilities at thi	is location	is \$23.2 million	through FY	2007.
, <u> </u>							
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	ON AND SAFET	Y DEFICIENCIES:					
A. AIR POLLUTION					0		
B. WATER POLLUTION					0		
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAF	FETY AND HEA	LTH			0		

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	3 MILITARY CON	NSTRUC	TION	PROJE	ECT DATA	2. Date FEB 02
3. Installation and L	ocation			4. Pro	ject Title		
COMMANDER, N (COMNAVMARIA		RCES, MARIANAS, JAM			MAR	INE LOADING	ARMS
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Nu	mber	8. Project Cost (\$	000)
71111S		122		ESC03	375	6,0	000
		9. COS	T ESTIMA	ΓES			
]	tem		U/M	Quantit	y Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
FUEL PIER LOADI	NG ARMS	KS		EA LS	6	487,000	3,292 (2,922) (370)
PIER STRUCTURA	L MODIFIC	ATIONS		LS LS	-		2,040 (690)
		ΓΙΟΝS CAL UTILITIES		LS	-	-	(500)
CONTINGENCY (5%)			-	-		5,332 <u>267</u>
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		_	_	_	5,599
		OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.59		-	-	-	364
				-	-	-	5,963 6,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install commercial-standard marine fuel loading arms, stripping pumps and tanks on two fueling piers (one set of three arms for each pier) for receipt and issue of JP-5, JP-8 and F-76 fuels. Provide necessary modifications to the pier structures to support the loading arms. Modify fuel manifold piping and utilities to connect new work to the existing fuel system.

11. REQUIREMENT: 6 EA ADEQUATE: 0 EA SUBSTANDARD: 0

EA

PROJECT: Install marine fuel loading arms on two fuel piers. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need for environmentally safe loading and unloading systems for the transfer of diesel and jet fuels from ocean tankers that will reduce manpower requirements and costly hose inventories. This system will be comparable to commercial systems that are now a standard fuel-handling feature on fuel piers in the United States. These piers are essential elements of the strategic en route infrastructure in the Pacific region and the main arteries for the receipt and transfer of bulk fuels at COMNAVMARIANAS (CNM), Guam, for distribution to Andersen Air Force Base and naval vessels in the area.

CURRENT SITUATION: Fuel operations at the existing piers are manpower intensive, requiring the use of a crane and several operators to couple and decouple hoses. Depending on the hose size, ship configuration, location, and tide, each of these operations may take up to two hours to complete. After fuel transfer operations are complete, hoses are stripped of residual fuel into drip pans, resulting in product loss and the possibility for spilling fuel into the harbor. The maintenance of a large hose inventory is costly and time consuming. These conditions continue to overtax labor resources, especially since the fuels department has undergone significant work force reductions over the last few years.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, CNM Guam's fuel support for Andersen AFB may be severely hampered due to lack of labor resources, particularly during contingency operations when concurrent pumping to Andersen and receipt of fuel at the piers may be underway. Manning shortfalls will lead to longer, more costly ship waiting times to load or unload fuel by means of hoses. The possibility of a catastrophic environmental accident from a ruptured or dropped hose poses a significant potential risk.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. Date FEB 02					
3. Installation and Location:				4. Project Title		
COMMANDER, NAVAL FORCES, MARIANAS,			MARINE LOADING ARMS			
(COMNAVMARI	IANAS), GU	J AM				
5. Program Element	t	6. Category Code 7. P		ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	00)
71111S		122	Ι	DESC0375	6,0	00
ADDITIONAL: This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies						

ADDITIONAL: This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

- (a) Estimated Design Data:
 - 1. Status:
 - (a) Date Design Started......08/01
 - (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)......YES
 - (c) Percent Completed as of January 2002......35
 - (d) Date 35 Percent Completed......12/01

 - (f) Type of Design Contract......Design/Bid/Build
 - 2. Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
 - (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:......09/01
 - 3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)
 - (a) Production of Plans and Specifications......240

 - (d) Contract.......320
 - (e) In-House......80
- B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITA	RY CONS	STRUCTI	ON PRO	OGRAM	2. DATE	
DEFENSE (DLA)	l							FEB 02
3. INSTALLATION AND LO		4. COMMAND					5. AREA (COST II	CONSTRUCTION NDEX
LAJES FIELD, AZOR	RES	DH	EFENSE L	OGISTIC	S AGE	NCY		1.28
C DEDCOMMEN CEDENICEN	DED	3.5.4.3.102.100		COLUDENIES		CLIDDOD	TED.	•
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:		MANENT ENLIST CIVIL		STUDENTS ENLIST	СІУП	SUPPORT OFFICER ENLIS		TOTAL
Tenant of USAF A.	UPPICER E	ENLIST CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIS I	CIVIL	OFFICER ENLIS	I CIVIL	TOTAL
В.								
		7.	INVENTOR	Y DATA (\$0	00)			
A. TOTAL ACREAGE								
B. INVENTORY TOTAL A								
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN INVE	ENTORY						7,700
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	UESTED IN T	THIS PROGRAM						19,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCI	LUDED IN FO	LLOWING PROG	RAM					
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	REE YEARS							
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	ICY							
H. GRAND TOTAL								26,700
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRA	AM:						
CATEGORY PROJECT		PROJEC	T TITLE			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMBER 121 DESC0404		Replace Hydra	nt Fuel Syst	em		(\$000) 19,000	START 07/01	COMPLETE 08/02
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE	7			COST		
CODE		I NOVECT III	-			(\$000)		
		None						
10MISSION OR MAJOR FUN		taraca and distri	Lution axat			issians of ossign	and units off	aina Eigld Agoras
These fuel facilities provide and other transient aircra		torage and distri	Dütion syst	tems to sup	рогі ше	e missions of assign	nea umis on	ajes rieiu, Azoies
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Deferred sustainment, res	toration, and	modernization t	or fuel faci	ilities at th	is location	onis \$15.5 million.		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTI	ON AND SAFE	ΓΥ DEFICIENCIES:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0		
B. WATER POLLUTION						0		
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAF	ETY AND HEA	LTH				0		

DEFENSE	03 MILITARY CONS	TRUC	TION	PROJ	ECT DATA	2. Date FEB 02
(DLA) B. Installation and Location LAJES FIELD, AZORES				ject Title EPLACE	HYDRANT FU	EL SYSTEM
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Nu	mber	8. Project Cost (\$	000)
71111S	121	D	ESC04	104	19.	000
	9. COST I			l.	,	
	Item		U/M	Quanti	ty Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES			-	-	-	14,286
REFUELING OUTLETS			OL	9	398,000	(3,582)
OPERATING FUEL STORAGE			kL	3,180	410	(1,304)
ANKS			LS	-	-	(1,600)
1 11 (112)			LS	-	-	(900)
TRUCK FILLSTANDS FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTE	EM					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			-	-	-	2,680
SITE PREPARATION AND IM			LS	-	-	(1,520)
MECHANICAL AND ELECTR			LS	-	-	(800)
DEMOLITION			LS	-	-	(110)
OPERATIONS & MAINTENA	NCE SUPPORT INFORMAT	ION	LS	-	-	(250)
SUBTOTAL			_	_	_	16,966
CONTINGENCY (5%			-	_	_	848
			-	-	_	17,814
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COS	Т		-	-	-	1,158
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION &	& OVERHEAD \$10H) (6.5%).					
			-	-	-	18,972
OTAL REQUEST			-	-	-	19,000
OTAL RECUEST (ROLLINDED)					
OTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide one 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per-minute) pumphouse, 9 hydrant fuel outlets, two 1,590-kiloliter (kL)(10,000-barrel) aboveground operating tanks, truck fillstand, and checkout stand for hydrant hose trucks. Work includes cathodic protection systems, leak detection, fire detection, fire hydrants, utility connections, oil/water separator, emergency generator, secondary containment systems, perimeter fencing, and security lighting. Cross connect fuel distribution piping to existing 18-outlet hydrant system. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 27 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 18 OL SUBSTANDARD: 5 OL

PROJECT: Replace a deteriorated hydrant fuel system with a modern pressurized fuel system. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide a functioning hydrant fuel system for wide-bodied aircraft supporting strategic mobility requirements and operations plans in the Atlantic. This 9-outlet system will replace a hydrant system that has failed and cannot support peacetime missions or en route mobility requirements in contingency or wartime operations. Lajes Field supports the Expeditionary Air Force concept and provides ground and in-flight refueling for aircraft transiting the Atlantic. It also provides a base of operations for humanitarian relief missions. This project provides the second of two hydrant fuel systems needed to meet the total requirement of 27 hydrants. The previous system was approved in the FY 1999 DLA MILCON program.

CURRENT SITUATION There is only one functional hydrant fuel system at Lajes Field. An existing 5-hydrant outlet system has been taken out of service due to environmental protection concerns and interference with airfield communications and radar upgrades. The area serviced by this hydrant system can no longer be used for aircraft parking. The current operational hydrant fuel system cannot support expected refueling demands during wartime scenarios. This situation leaves the proposed project site as the only remaining area to park wide-bodied aircraft. When large-frame aircraft are located at parking locations without hydrant capability, they must be serviced by refueling trucks. Because of the distances the refuelers must travel between aircraft and truck fillstands, they cannot provide the necessary fuel support in the required one-hour refueling time.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	3 MILITARY CONS	JECT DATA	2. Date FEB 02		
3. Installation and LAJES FIELD, A					EL SYSTEM	
5. Program Elemen	t	6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$0	000)
71111S		121	DESC0404		19,0	000
MDACT IS NOT DROVIDED 164's as 's a 's a 's a 's a 's a 's a 's						

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED If this project is not provided, the refueling operations at Lajes Field will be severely impacted if the only existing hydrant fuel system were to fail. The resulting lack of hydrant refueling capability would increase aircraft refueling time, impacting personnel, cargo, and weapons positioning in various theaters of operations.

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO Security Investment Program funding because of the terms of the 1984 Technical Agreement between the United State and Portugal, which governs the use of Lajes Field. An analysis of the status quo, refueling by truck, or constructing the proposed hydrant system concluded that replacement of the existing system is the only feasible alternative to accomplish the refueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components

12. Supplemental Data:

- (a) Estimated Design Data:
 - 1. Status:

(a)	Date Design Started)7/01
-----	---------------------	-------

- (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)......YES
- (c) Percent Completed as of January 2002......35*

- 2. Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
 - (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:......07/00
- 3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a) P	roduction of Plans	and Specifications	570
-------	--------------------	--------------------	-----

- (d) Contract......760
- (e) In-House......190

- 6. Construction Completion.......08/04

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Point of Contact is Thomas P

^{*} Equivalent 35 percent design based on parametric estimate

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITA	RY CONS	STRUCTI	ON PRO	OGRAM	2	. DATE			
DEFENSE (DLA)									FEB 02		
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION	4. COMMAND					5	5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX			
NAVAL STATION ROTA, SPAIN		DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY							1.20		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PER	MANENT	:	STUDENTS		SUPPO	ORTED				
Tenant of US Navy	OFFICER E	NLIST CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER EN	LIST	CIVIL	TOTAL		
A. B.											
		7.	INVENTOR	Y DATA (\$0	000)						
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL A	S OF										
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	YET IN INV	ENTORY							3,000		
D. AUTHORIZATION REQ	UESTED IN	THIS PROGRAM							23,400		
E. AUTHORIZATION INC	LUDED IN FO	LLOWING PRO	GRAM								
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	IREE YEARS										
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	NCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL									26,400		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	N THIS PROGRA	AM:									
CATEGORY PROJECT		PROJE	CT TITLE			COST		SIGN	STATUS		
CODE NUMBER 121 DESC0204		Hydrant 1	Fuel System			(\$000) 23,400		5/00	COMPLETE 10/02		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: CATEGORY CODE		PROJECT TITL	E			COST (\$000)					
CODE		None				(\$000)					
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUN These fuel facilities provid aircraft of Naval Station F Deferred sustainment, rest	e essential fue Rota and other	r contingency op	erations pla	ans.							
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUT	ION AND SAFE	TY DEFICIENCIES	3:								
A. AIR POLLUTION						0)				
B. WATER POLLUTION						0					
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAI	FETY AND HEA	ALTH				0)				

1. Component DEFENSE	FY 200	03 MILITARY CONS	STRUC	TION	PROJEC	CT DATA	2. Date FEB 02
(DLA) 3. Installation and I	ocation			1 Proi	ject Title		
				4. Proj	ject Title		
NAVAL STATIO						ANT FUEL SY	YSTEM
5. Program Element	t	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Nur	mber 8.	Project Cost (\$	000)
71111S		121	Г	ESC02	204	23.	400
		9. COST 1	ESTIMA	TES			
		Item		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	TEC			-	-	-	15,920
				OL	16	230,000	(3,680)
FUEL STORAGE	LLIG	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	kL	10,000	384	(3,840)
TANKS				LS	-	-	(1,000)
		TY		LS	-	-	(600)
		AD STATIONS		LS	-	-	(1,150)
		NG		LS	-	-	(1,550)
		JEL)		LS	-	-	(4,100)
	*	, <u>DD</u>)					
TOLL TRUNSTER	CITI EEN (E.						
SUPPORTING FAC	ILITIES			_	_	_	4,965
		UTILITIES.		LS	_	_	(2,900)
				LS	_	_	(700)
				LS	_	_	(250)
		G		LS	_	_	(600)
				LS	_	_	(315)
		NCE SUPPORT INFORMAT		LS	_	_	(200)
							(===)
SUBTOTAL		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		_	_	_	20,885
CONTINGENCY (59				-	-	-	1,044
)							
				-	-	-	21,929
ESTIMATED CONT	TRACT COS	Т		-	-	-	<u>1,425</u>
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTION &	& OVERHEAD \$IOH) (6.5%).					
				-	-	-	23,354
TOTAL REQUEST				-	-	-	23,400
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUNDED)					
Currency Exchange Rate:	: 1.1386 Euro/	\$					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a pressurized hydrant fuel system with 16 hydrant outlets, two 5,000-kiloliter (kL) (32,000-barrel) fuel storage tanks, fuel filter/separator facility, transfer pipeline, truck fillstands, fuel unload stations, fuel operations building, refueler truck hardstand, pantographs, defuel cart, and associated equipment. Work includes all necessary pumps, valves, filters, equipment enclosures, control systems, emergency generator, utility connections, and cathodic protection. Supporting facilities include drainage, fencing, and fuel containment structures. Demolish two existing operating tanks and associated fuel facilities to make way for new construction.

11. REQUIREMENT: 16 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 0 OL SUBSTANDARD: 5 OL

PROJECT: Construct a pressurized hydrant fuel system, fuel transfer pipeline, and fuel operations supporting facilities. (N)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to construct a modern hydrant fuel system and additional fuel storage to support strategic en route mobility requirements for Europe, Southwest Asia, and Africa from this location. This work is part of a larger U.S. Air Force initiative to expand and enhance capabilities at Naval Station Rota to meet strategic mobility requirements for peacetime and contingency operations. This project must be conjunctively funded with proposed Air Force military construction projects to expand the airfield apron and provide aircraft support facilities, that are programmed for FYs 2003 and 2004.

CURRENT SITUATION: Naval Station Rota lacks sufficient parking space and refueling capability for wide-bodied aircraft supporting strategic mobility requirements. All aircraft are currently refueled by truck except for five hydrant positions that violate airfield safety criteria when wide-bodied aircraft are parked on this apron.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	2. Date FEB 02				
3. Installation and l	3. Installation and Location: 4. Project Title					
NAVAL STATION ROTA, SPAIN HYDRANT FUEL SY					STEM	
5. Program Elemen	t	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	000)	
71111S		121]	DESC0204	400	

Refueling wide-bodied aircraft by truck cannot meet Air Force aircraft-generation rates in support of strategic plans. In addition, this project will replace the existing installation fuel tranfer pipeline, which has insufficient capacity to provide the required resupply flow rates to operating storage tanks.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED If this project is not provided, the ability of Naval Station Rota to support strategic en route mobility aircraft will be in jeopardy. The potential for severe mission degradation is high without the additional parking positions and hydrant fuel system.

ADDITIONAL: A precautionaryprefinancing statement for the future recoupment of funds from the NATO Security Investment Program was acknowledged by NATO in June 2001. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

- (a) Estimated Design Data:
 - 1. Status:

a.	Date Design Started	05/00
----	---------------------	-------

- b. Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)......YES
- c. Percent Completed as of January 2002......35*

- f. Type of Design Contract......Design/Bid/Build
- 2. Basis:
 - i. Standard or Definitive Design: YES
 - ii. Date Design was Most Recently Used:......09/01
- 3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a)	Production	of Plans	and Specifications	720
(a)	1 I O G G C G O H	OI I Ians	and obcenications.	

- (b) All Other Design Costs......480

- (e) In-House......240

- 6. Construction Completion......12/05

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

^{*} Equivalent 35 percent design based on parametric estimate

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2003 MILITA	ARY CONS	STRUCTI	ON PR	OGRAM	2	. DATE	
DEFENSE (DLA)	_	1 2000 1.2222		31110 0	.01,111	00111111			FEB 02
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION	4. COMMAND					5	. AREA C	ONSTRUCTION
RAF FAIRFORD, UNITED KINGDOM		DEFENSE LOCISTICS ACENCY							1.25
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PER	MANENT		STUDENTS		SUPPO	ORTED		
Tenant of USAF	OFFICER E	ENLIST CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER ENI	LIST	CIVIL	TOTAL
A. B.									
		7.	. INVENTOR	RY DATA (\$0	000)				
A. TOTAL ACREAGE									
B. INVENTORY TOTAL A									
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT	Γ YET IN INV	ENTORY							
D. AUTHORIZATION REC	QUESTED IN	THIS PROGRAM	[17,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INC	LUDED IN FO	OLLOWING PRO	GRAM						
F. PLANNED IN NEXT TH	IREE YEARS								
G. REMAINING DEFICIEN	NCY								
H. GRAND TOTAL									17,000
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN	N THIS PROGR	AM:							
CATEGORY PROJECT CODE NUMBER			CT TITLE			COST (\$000)	ST	SIGN CART	STATUS COMPLETE
121 DESC0306		Replace Hydra	int Fuel Syst	em		17,000	12	2/00	07/02
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:									
CATEGORY CODE		PROJECT TITL	Е			COST (\$000)			
A. B.		None							
.									
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUN The mission of RAF Fairf		ntain and operate	facilities ar	nd provide	services	and materials to	suppor	rt U.S. fo	orces in Europe.
Deferred sustainment, rest	torotion and	modernization fo	r fual faaili	tion at thin	location	is \$2.7 million	throug	h EV 20	07
Deferred sustainment, rest	ioration, and	modernization ic	n tuel lacili	nes at tins	iocation	18 \$5.7 HIIIIOH	unoug	JIFI 200	07.
11. OUTSTANDING POLL	UTION AND	SAFETY DEFICI	ENCIES:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0			
B. WATER POLLUTION	ON					0			
C. OCCUPATIONAL	SAFETY AND	HEALTH				0			

1. Component 2. Date FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA **DEFENSE FEB 02** (DLA) 3. Installation and Location 4. Project Title REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM ROYAL AIR FORCE FAIRFORD, UNITED KINGDOM 7. Project Number 5. Program Element 6. Category Code 8. Project Cost (\$000) **DESC0306** 71111S 121 17,000 9. COST ESTIMATES Item U/M Quantity Unit Cost Cost (\$000) 11,870 PRIMARY FACILITIES..... OL 15 240,000 (3,600)REFUELING OUTLETS..... kL 10,000 400 (4,000)**FUEL STORAGE** LS (950)TANKS..... LS (300)FILTER/SEPARATOR FACILITY..... LS (1,150)TRUCK FILLSTANDS..... LS (810)FUEL OPERATIONS BUILDING..... LS (1,060)PANTOGRAPHS (FUEL/DEFUEL)..... FUEL TRANSFER PIPELINE..... SUPPORTING FACILITIES..... 3,496 SITE IMPROVEMENTS AND UTILITIES..... LS (1,941)GENERATOR/CONTROLS..... LS (745)DEMOLITION.... LS (210)REFUELER TRUCK PARKING..... (600)SUBTOTAL..... 15.366 CONTINGENCY (5%). 768 16,134 ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a pressurized hydrant fuel system with 15 hydrant outlets, two 5,000-kiloliter (kL) (32,000-barrel) fuel storage tanks, fuel filter/separator facility, transfer pipeline, truck fillstands, fuels operations building, refueler truck hardstand, pantographs, defuel cart, and associated equipment. Work includes all necessary pumps, valves, filters, equipment enclosures, control systems, emergency generator, utility connections, and cathodic protection. Supporting facilities include drainage, fencing, and fuel containment structures. Demolish four existing obsolete fuel systems including pumphouses, outlets, and underground storage tanks.

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (UKSIOH)(5.0%)......

TOTAL REQUEST.....

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....

Currency Exchange Rate: 0.7091 British Pounds/\$

11. REQUIREMENT: 15 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 0 OL SUBSTANDARD: 12 OL

PROJECT: Replace four deteriorated fueling systems with a looped pressurized hydrant fuel system, fuel transfer pipeline, and fuel operations supporting facilities. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to construct a modern hydrant fuel system and additional fuel storage to support strategic en route mobility requirements for Europe, Southwest Asia, and Africa. This system will replace four 45-year-old systems that are failing and cannot support contingency operations or en route mobility fuel requirements for transient C-5, C-17, KC-10, KC-135, E-8, and bomber aircraft.

CURRENT SITUATION: The four existing fuel systems are obsolete and not capable of efficiently refueling wide-bodied aircraft at the required refueling rates, nor do they have defueling capability. The underground storage tanks have insufficient storage capacity and are a major environmental concern because of their single-wall steel construction. European Union environmental regulations require that single-wall fuel tanks be replaced or deactivated by October 2004, imparting further urgency to this project. The existing installation fuel tranfer pipeline

has insufficient capacity to support required tank resupply flow rates. Operations and fuel lab facilities, constructed in the 1350's farm deterior deterior

807

16.941

17,000

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 200	3 MILITARY CONST	2. Date FEB 02				
3. Installation and Location: 4. Project Title							
ROYAL AIR FO	EL SYSTEM						
5. Program Element 6. Category Code 7. Project 1				ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	000)	
71111S		121	DESC0306 17,0			000	
Consequently, during contingency operations, these facilities must be vacated, and personnel temporarily relocated to							

Consequently, during contingency operations, these facilities must be vacated, and personnel temporarily relocated to facilities on base that are outside of this arc.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED If this project is not provided, the ability of RAF Fairford to support strategic en route mobility aircraft will be severely hampered. The base will be forced to rely on slow, inefficient systems that are obsolete, continuing to deteriorate, and posing an environmental threat and safety hazard for operating personnel and aircraft. Regulatory deadlines for the replacement or removal of non-compliant underground storage tanks will impinge on the base's ability to supply fuel due to insufficient fuel storage capacity.

ADDITIONAL: A precautionary prefinancing statement for the future recoupment of funds from the NATO Security Investment Program is being processed for NATO approval. Work will be accomplished through a design/build contract administered by the British Ministry of Defense. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

12. Supplemental Data:

()	T 1	ъ.	D (
(a)	Estimated	Design	Data:

(a)	, &								
	(b)	Status:							
		a. Date Design Started	00						
		b. Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No)No	O						
		c. Percent Completed as of January 2002	5						
		d. Date 35 Percent Completed							
		e. Date Design Complete07/0							
		f. Type of Design ContractUK Design/Buil							
	2.	Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design: YE	S						
		(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:							
	3.	Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) ($$000$)							
		(a) Production of Plans and Specifications540							
		(b) All Other Design Costs							
		(c) Total900)						
		(d) Contract750							
		(e) In-House							

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

6. Construction Completion......05/04